Many small towns and rural jurisdictions assemble SWAT teams — some of them part-time, some of them multi-jurisdictional — with little expectation that these teams will ever be called on in a crisis situation. However, incidents like the ones mentioned above can happen anywhere, at anytime, in places like unincorporated Cokeville and Bailey, a town of far less than 1,000 residents, as well as in major cities.

To help teams in towns large and small be better prepared to handle incidents and to work together if the need arises, the National Tactical Officers Association (NTOA), has developed SWAT Standards For Law Enforcement Agencies “to serve as an efficient core set of concepts, principles and policies to standardize and enhance the delivery of tactical law enforcement services” (p. v).

NTOA produced the standards on a quick turnaround timetable, beginning a series of meetings in February 2008 and releasing the standards in September of the same year. The association received assistance in that effort from the Rural Law Enforcement Technology Center (RULETC), which provided input into standard development and offered a training track on multi-jurisdictional SWAT teams at the September 2008 NTOA conference. RULETC is a component of the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center system, a program of the Office of Justice Programs’ National Institute of Justice (NIJ). RULETC focuses on addressing the technology needs of small and rural law enforcement and corrections agencies.

RULETC has also developed a CD-ROM titled Multi-Jurisdictional Special Weapons and Tactics, which is available free of charge and includes the full text of the standards, a multi-jurisdictional best practices guide, a multimedia presentation and sample forms.

RULETC’s efforts to help small and multi-jurisdictional teams began not long after Deputy Director Scott Barker, a former FBI SWAT team leader and Hostage Rescue Team member, started at the Center in 2005. An officer from a small police department came to Barker with a request for assistance, because the officer believed that four to five officers, all his department could spare, were not enough for a SWAT team. His need for help in creating a multi-jurisdictional team led to RULETC’s efforts to create the best practices guide and CD-ROM, and the Center’s eventual involvement with NTOA.

During a critical incident, rapid collection, processing and dissemination of information is vital to the outcome of an investigation. Tip lines have become more popular in recent years as an investigative tool, and law enforcement agencies now have new advanced tip line technology at their disposal.

Project TIPLINE is a free Internet-automated tip collection, management and analytic tool. The software is used to collect and analyze data and can be modified to meet an agency’s specific needs. A handbook is included that reviews standard operating procedures for tip lines, how to handle large numbers of tips and how agencies can prepare for events and incidents that might use a tip line system.
The impetus for the project was the sniper shootings that occurred in the Washington, D.C., area during three weeks in the fall of 2002. Ten people were killed and three wounded. Lee Boyd Malvo, who was 17 years old at the time of the shootings, and John Allen Muhammad, who was 40, were arrested at a Maryland highway rest stop and subsequently convicted and sentenced in some of the attacks.

Police received thousands of tips during the investigation. In the aftermath, authorities held a symposium organized by Dr. Cynthia Lum of George Mason University, the developer of Project TIPLINE, to discuss and determine lessons learned during the investigation. What emerged was the need for a sophisticated automated tip line system that could sort and analyze tips as they came in.

"During the sniper investigation, police received lots of tips from multiple locations, and there was a need to coordinate and examine tips quickly and efficiently," says Lum, who developed the handbook and software.

Development of TIPLINE involved consultation with police in Montgomery County, Md., and the Fairfax County and Manassas City Police departments in Virginia. In the final stages of development, researchers tested the system at the Moss Point Police Department in Mississippi.

Funding was provided by the Office of Justice Programs National Institute of Justice through an interagency agreement with the U.S. Department of Defense, Space and Naval Systems Command (SPAWAR), according to Joey Pomperada of SPAWAR, who served as TIPLINE project manager. SPAWAR in turn contracted with Northeastern University for the research phase of the project and collaborated with George Mason University to develop the TIPLINE software and handbook.

During the first phase of the project, which began in 2005, researchers examined the nature and state of tip lines in the United States. The research team found that the most common use of tip lines was for police to receive and examine information tip-by-tip, evaluating and prioritizing as each tip is received, and that the needs of law enforcement agencies regarding tip lines were not being adequately met. For the most part, tip lines lacked analytic and automated components, although some development of tip line applications and software had been done, such as Rapid Start, used by the FBI for case/tip management.

"Most tip lines in the U.S. consist of a manual, paper-based system," Lum says. "Handwritten tips are sometimes entered into computerized spreadsheets, but there is often no analysis or mapping of tips."

"The concept of what was needed was simple: a software application that could increase the efficiency of tip lines by receiving and analyzing tips quickly, but that also could analyze tips for patterns that would be useful for the police in their operations. With TIPLINE, not only can police collect tips from multiple locations into a single database that can be analyzed, but the analysis has operational and strategic meaning. Further, the tip line handbook provides information on how agencies can prepare for critical incidents and provides examples and suggestions on a variety of operational activities, from setting up a tip line command center to what types of analysis might be generated and how such analyses might be used in investigation."

The last phase of the project was finalizing the software and testing it. The developers’ law enforcement partners provided feedback about both the handbook and software. The free software was released in August 2008, restricted to government agencies. Uses for tip lines are not limited to high-profile, critical events such as the Washington area sniper case. Law enforcement can use tip lines for a variety of reasons, including missing person cases, terrorism prevention and response, natural disaster recovery efforts, cold case investigations, community-based drug reduction efforts and such mundane matters as reporting trash in an alley.

"The software uses basic technology similar to online surveys," says Lum. "Tipsters do not have to call tips in, which reduces call volume during critical incidents. They can go online and type in information through an agency Web site. If a person does not have access to the Internet, they can still call in a tip, which is then entered by an officer or dispatcher."

"An advantage of TIPLINE is that multiple agencies and citizens from any jurisdiction can enter tips into a single database, which can then be accessed for analysis. Officers and citizens can select choices, such as hair color, and also write narratives. Such information is then automatically transferred using a secured server into a database that can then be accessed for analysis. The software can be housed on a standalone computer or a server, which allows for wide usage."
The NTOA multijurisdictional committee and chair Tom Nolan provided input into RULETC’s efforts, and the Center in turn became involved in the development of the standards and presented a small agency training track at the annual NTOA conference held in September 2008 in Albuquerque.

“We were just in the right place at the right time,” Barker says. “We released our best practices guide, they introduced the standards, we did the class. It was like it was all planned, but it really just came together very well.”

“It can be done if you get the right guidance and the right leadership,” says NTOA Executive Director John Gnagey. “Scott and RULETC provided that under the leadership of Dr. John Morgan from NIJ.”

Gnagey says the enormous scope of the 2004 Beslan incident caused the tactical community worldwide to take a serious look at whether teams would be prepared to handle a similar incident. NTOA started Project Red, which involved convening focus groups of tactical commanders, supervisors and operators from around the country and asking them some basic questions; the answers they got back were resounding “no’s,” accompanied by requests for standardization of policies, procedures, equipment and training. Then, in the wake of Hurricane Katrina, the Federal Emergency Management Agency came up with classifications — not standards — for SWAT teams and requested NTOA’s assistance in reviewing and rewriting the National Incident Management System as it applies to SWAT teams.

At that point, Gnagey says, NTOA decided to stop waiting for a federal agency to take the lead in developing a SWAT standard, and to take on the task.

“The board of directors said look, we have feedback from members, we’ve been in business 25 years and we’ve been teaching things and suggesting model policies. Why not just go one step farther and say this is a standard,” Gnagey says.

NTOA assembled a committee of practitioners, drew on some existing documents developed by various states and asked RULETC if the Center would be interested in a partnership and alliance.

“Within about five meetings, we were able to knock this thing out and get it ready to release at the conference,” Gnagey says.

“They said they weren’t going to play around, and they didn’t,” Barker says. He adds that NTOA originally hoped that the standards would be published by NIJ. However, NIJ chose to provide funding and input, and NTOA published the standards.

As with NIJ standards, compliance with the NTOA standards is voluntary. Another point of similarity is that Gnagey and NTOA see the standards as “a living document, always ready for potential revisions.” Although copies of the standards have been distributed and are available, NTOA also sent copies to organizations such as the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the Fraternal Order of Police and the National Sheriffs’ Association asking for comments, and the association plans to incorporate valid feedback as needed. Ultimately, NTOA would like to see the standards adopted by the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the FBI, with compliance potentially tied to receiving certain types of federal funding.

While NTOA waits for feedback from these associations and agencies, feedback is already coming in from SWAT teams around the country. Barker says response to the standards has been mainly positive, although some jurisdictions seem to feel it is too tough.

“A lot of chiefs will say this is too expensive to do, but others will say this is what they’ve been looking for. The days of the four-man SWAT team are over, and some teams will be forced to combine to meet the standards,” Barker says. “NTOA is just trying to take the teams we have today and make them better, and RULETC is trying to facilitate NTOA to do this.”

To obtain copies of Multi-Jurisdictional Special Weapons and Tactics, RULETC’s CD-ROM that also includes the standards, or for more information about the cooperative effort between RULETC and NTOA, contact RULETC at (866) 787-2553, e-mail Ruletc1@aol.com.
TECHshorts is a sampling of the technology projects, programs and initiatives being conducted by the Office of Justice Programs’ National Institute of Justice (NIJ) and the centers, specialty offices and criminal justice technology Centers of Excellence (CoEs) that constitute its National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC) system. If you would like additional information concerning any of the following TECHshorts, please refer to the specific point-of-contact information that is included at the end of each entry.

In addition to TECHshorts, an online, biweekly technology news summary containing articles relating to technology developments in public safety that have appeared in newspapers, newsmagazines, and trade and professional journals is available through the NLECTC system’s Web site, JUSTNET, at www.justnet.org. This service, the Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology News Summary, also is available through an electronic e-mail list, JUSTNETNews. Every other week, subscribers to JUSTNETNews receive the news summary directly via e-mail. To subscribe to JUSTNETNews, e-mail your request to asknleect@nlectic.org or call (800) 248-2742.

Note: The mentioning of specific manufacturers or products in TECHshorts does not constitute the endorsement of the U.S. Department of Justice, NIJ or the NLECTC system.

AmberView Continues to Enhance Its Technology
West Virginia High Technology Consortium Foundation

Each day in the United States, more than 2,000 children are reported missing or abducted. Although the majority of them are safely returned to their families, about 115 abducted children are murdered each year, 74 percent within three hours of their abduction. AmberView mass broadcasts a digital picture of a missing or abducted child to law enforcement, news media and citizens within minutes of an official Amber Alert—effectively putting thousands of people across an entire region on alert. To an abducted child, time is the greatest enemy.

Three years ago, through a partnership between the National Institute of Justice and the West Virginia High Technology Consortium Foundation, parents in West Virginia were introduced to AmberView. This innovative technology directly assists law enforcement by quickly issuing an up-to-date digital picture and current biographical information of a missing or abducted child. AmberView has experienced tremendous growth in participation by law enforcement, par­ents, academics and the media since its introduction in West Virginia.

As AmberView has progressed, its technology has been enhanced. Facial recognition capabilities using biometrics technology have been added to the process. In the event of an abduction, the image of a suspected missing child can be captured by a law enforcement officer’s cell phone or digital camera and transmitted to AmberView’s secure database, where it is compared against the images of missing children in the system. The result of the biometric comparison is transmitted back to the officer’s cell phone within minutes and can be used as a tool in identifying a suspected missing child. To further increase the chances of facial recognition for a missing or abducted child, AmberView also employs a system capable of creating a 3D rotating picture from a single 2D image to significantly enhance the ability of law enforcement and the public to recognize the missing child from side and angular views. AmberView assisted law enforcement in December 2007 by providing, within minutes, an image of a “runaway” 14-year-old female, resulting in a successful recovery.

For more information on the AmberView program, contact Karen Whipkey at (866) 725-9300 or kwhipkey@wvhicf.org, or visit http://www.amberview.org.

Double Dervish Tool Improves Legacy Robot Performance
National Institute of Justice

NIJ funding has led to the development of the Double Dervish, a cutter attachment for legacy explosive ordnance disposal (EOD) robots. The tool, which helps bomb technicians cut belts, vests and other items from an improvised explosive device carried by an individual, currently is undergoing evaluation. The head cuts through cloth, ballistic nylon, mixed textiles with zippers, buckles, rivets or chains, key shanks and cables, all with a single tool. A shoe guide prevents damage to the surface, which is particularly important in hostage or suicide cases. It can be activated via a push switch at the incident site or by looking into a robot’s access­ory ports, all without requiring any additional software or control interfaces. Its operator uses the robot’s standard controller. For more information, contact Brian Montgomery, program manager, NIJ, at brian.montgomery@usdoj.gov.

Earthquake Field Exercise One of Nation’s Largest
BRTC-Austin and NLECTC-Northeast

Representatives from NLECTC-Northeast and the Border Research and Technology Center-Austin observed and documented one of the largest school safety field exercises in the nation in Anchorage, Alaska, in order to determine its effectiveness and the possibility of its being duplicated elsewhere in the country. The Northwest Center, in working with the Anchorage School District, was able to recommend strategies for training sustainability.

More than 450 Anchorage school district staff members, students, and city and state emergency responders participated in the earthquake simulation. Principals and staff from 60 district schools gathered at Birchwood Elementary, Gunning Middle School and Eagle River High School to simulate search and rescue, evacuations and sheltering. Participants role-played what to do in the event of a massive earthquake that collapses buildings, eliminates telephone communica­tion, takes out bridges and makes major roadways impassable. Schools involved in the drill activated their emergency response teams, triaged victims and evacuated them to shelters as needed. Following Incident Command System (ICS) protocols, the district stood up an emergency command center to provide communications with school-based staff. During the exercise, the district’s command center worked closely with the municipality’s Emergency Operations Center and police and fire departments.

This field exercise was part of a larger training program involving all Anchorage School District schools. Staff mem­bers are learning to use the National Incident Management System and ICS.

For more information, contact NLECTC-Northeast at (866) 569-2869, e-mail nlectic_nw@cscc.net.

GPS Review
Weapons and Protective Systems Technologies CoE

The Weapons and Protective Systems Technologies CoE, a partner with NLECTC-Rocky Mountain, in October 2008 completed an independent review of the Connecticut Courts Supports Services Division (CSSD) offender tracking program as part of a larger review of the division’s use of electronic monitoring technology.

The CSSD uses electronic monitoring equipment to supervise probationers, including more than 200 radio frequency and 30 GPS tracking units. The program had been brought into question when a case in the fall suggested that a repeat sexual offender had strayed from his residence without autho­rization. A vendor review determined that the results were inconclusive, raising questions about the reliability of the equipment, the accuracy of the GPS technology and the viability of the entire program. The Office of the Chief Court Administrator requested an independent review, which resulted in recommendations by the Weapons and Protective Systems Technologies CoE.

The full report, A Review of the GPS Program of Connecticut’s Court Support Services Division, can be found on http://www.jud.ct.gov/external/news/GPSReviewFinal111708.pdf. For additional information about electronic monitoring of offenders, contact the CoE at (814) 865-7098.
In the market for patrol cars, rifles, an armored personnel carrier, helicopter, computers, trucks, perhaps a horse? Law enforcement and other public safety agencies can obtain these and countless other items through programs that offer federal government goods for free or at reduced cost.

As public safety budgets tighten up, the 1033 and 1122 programs can help agencies obtain equipment they might not otherwise be able to afford.

**1033 Program**

Through the U.S. Department of Defense, the 1033 Program provides equipment no longer needed (excess property) to state, local and federal law enforcement free, but agencies can incur some cost under the program. Each state has a coordinator to run the state program, and each state runs its 1033 program differently. About 25 percent of states and U.S. territories charge an administrative fee, and the agency receiving the item is responsible for transport.

The 1033 Program is open to all law enforcement programs, with special consideration given to agencies needing equipment for counterdrug or counterterrorism activities. Corrections agencies’ use of the program is limited to obtaining equipment for the law enforcement-type functions of a corrections facility such as probation and parole activities and fugitive squads.

During fiscal year 2007, more than $81 million worth of equipment was transferred to agencies under the 1033 Program, according to Ken Dover of the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC) system, which is a program of the Office of Justice Programs’ National Institute of Justice. Between fiscal year 2003 and fiscal year 2007, $500 million worth of equipment was transferred nationwide. NLECTC can work with agencies to help facilitate transfer of items.

“The number of people using the program has steadily increased over the years through outreach, word of mouth, conferences and news articles,” says Dover, who has been involved in the 1033 Program for more than a decade. “But there are still a lot of agencies that are not aware of it and don’t use it to their benefit.”

Agencies receive equipment in “as is” condition. The system has become more computerized and automated over the years to help speed transfer of goods. Property transferred over the years includes used vehicles (land, air and sea), weapons, computer equipment, fingerprint equipment, night vision equipment, radios and televisions, first aid equipment, photographic equipment, helicopters and armored personnel vehicles.

“The first person agencies need to contact is their state coordinator,” says Dover. “Agencies should work through their state coordinators because each state is different.”

**1122 Program**

The 1122 Program is a purchase program that allows state and local governments to buy new equipment from the Department of the Army, the General Services Administration (GSA) and the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) at government cost.

In the past only law enforcement could participate in the 1122 Program and the equipment had to be used for counterdrug activities. The program has been expanded to include purchase of equipment for...
The shot to the abdomen penetrated Limbacher’s body armor. Body armor that, according to its rated level of protection under the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) Compliance Testing Program, should have stopped the bullet.

This incident touched off five years of intensive research, five years of focus group meetings and manufacturer workshops, and five years of intensive scrutiny of the entire testing program and the standard behind it. Then, Attorney General John Ashcroft created the U.S. Department of Justice’s Body Armor Safety Initiative in response to concerns from the law enforcement and corrections communities about armor’s effectiveness, and directed NIJ to undertake an examination of both new and used Zylon-based armor, to analyze upgrade kits provided by manufacturers that retrofit Zylon-based armors and to review the existing testing program.

The end result, officially launched in December 2008, is not only a revision to the existing standard (Ballistic Resistance of Personal Body Armor, NIJ Standard 0101.06), but a complete restructuring of the entire compliance testing program. The new program adds, among other elements, a testing laboratory accreditation process, ongoing manufacturer conformity assessment and a conditioning protocol to impose controlled elements of “wear and tear” on armor before it is tested. These significant changes to the standard offer greater assurance that armor will provide the protection needed by law enforcement and corrections officers. (NIJ Standard 0101.06 supersedes the NIJ 2005 Interim Requirements and all other previous versions of the standard.)

The overarching theme of this revision is significant expansion of the program, resulting in greater confidence in the performance of armor in field use,” says Lance Miller, director of the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center-National, which administers the Compliance Testing Program for NIJ.

“Key findings out of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in the wake of the Forest Hills incident and input from the law enforcement and corrections communities indicated that it is no longer good enough to just test new out-of-the-box armor,” Miller says. “The test process itself has been redesigned with the idea that it is no longer ‘once and done.’” The NIST Office of Law Enforcement Standards performed extensive testing on used armor made of other fabrics in addition to Zylon and reported its findings to NIJ; those findings have helped inform the revision to the standard.

Miller adds: “In addition to adding the environmental conditioning protocol to ensure that armor will provide its intended level of protection when subjected to high temperature, humidity and mechanical stress, the standard has also been beefed up in terms of the number of shots and test velocities, which gives us a higher degree of confidence in the vests’ performance capability. It really was a top-to-bottom overhaul. We’re very happy with the way this moves the program forward.

More than 3,000 police officers’ lives have been saved by body armor since the mid-1970s, when NIJ began testing body armor and developing performance standards. The NIJ standard and its testing program have gained worldwide recognition as establishing the benchmark for ballistic resistant armor performance.

Miller explains that when NIJ started the body armor program with field testing in 1975, ballistic-resistant vests were new to the law enforcement market and little was known about them. “Now we’re taking the next step forward. For example, we want to make sure the manufacturer is willing to back up the performance of the armor per the warranty period as stated by the manufacturer.”

The body armor worn by every officer must provide protection from common street threats and maintain its performance throughout the manufacturer’s warranty period, the manufacturing community must provide armor that instills confidence in its protective ability and this revision to the standard enables the accomplishment of those goals,” says Marc Caplan, chief of the Operational Technologies Division in NIJ’s Office of Science and Technology.

For a look at each of the three major areas of the revised program — the standard itself, the conformity assessment program and the laboratory accreditation program — please see the related sidebars (“Standards — the new standard itself,” “Laboratory Accreditation Promotes Confidence in Testing Results,” and “It’s Not Just ‘Once and Done’ Anymore”). For more detailed information on the Compliance Testing Program and body armor in general, visit http://www.justnet.org/Pages/Topic.aspx?opentopic=10&topic=10/.

Revised

The date of June 23, 2003, holds a prominent place in the memories of the law enforcement and corrections communities. On that night, in Forest Hills, Pa., Officer Edward Limbacher, wearing body armor constructed primarily of a fiber called Zylon®, threw open the side door of an unmarked Ford van and stepped out to move in on a drug suspect. The suspect fired, striking Limbacher in the arm and abdomen with .40-caliber rounds, then ran.
More "Muscle" for Better Protection

Ballistic Resistance of Personal Body Armor, NIJ Standard-0101.06 incorporates a number of major changes, including the addition of a conditioning protocol, revised test methods, changes to the levels of armor classification and more stringent performance requirements.

These revisions provide greater assurance that the armor worn by law enforcement officers today will afford the protection they need, says Lance Miller, director of the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC) National, which administers the Compliance Testing Program for the Office of Justice Programs’ National Institute of Justice (NIJ).

NIJ Standard 0101.06 supersedes all other previous versions, including the NIJ 2005 Interim Requirements. However, its implementation does not revoke the compliance listing of body armor models previously tested and listed as compliant under either NIJ Standard 0101.04 (see TechBeat Fall 2000, "New Standard ‘4’ Armor") or the NIJ 2005 Interim Requirements (see TechBeat Fall 2006, "30 Years, 3,000 Saves").

Agencies should not feel they must immediately replace armor previously listed as compliant under those versions; however, they are encouraged to pursue armor that complies with NIJ Standard-0101.06 when they do future procurements. To provide assistance in that area, NIJ and NLECTC-National have also undertaken a project to coordinate an update of NIJ’s Selection and Application Guide to Personal Body Armor, which provides in-depth information regarding appropriate armor classifications for various duty assignments, care and maintenance of equipment, procurement, training/education and other topics.

This revised standard should be the starting point for designing and building armor that not only meets, but also exceeds, the standard. John Morgan, deputy director of NIJ’s Office of Science and Technology, said in a May 2008 workshop for the body armor manufacturing community, adding that armor should provide a level of protection that invokes confidence among law enforcement officers that they will go home to their families at the end of their shifts. Dr. Morgan also said a new laboratory accreditation process should reduce variability in test results between different testing laboratories. However, the law enforcement and corrections communities need to understand that the conditioning protocol does not guarantee that armor will last for any certain period of time in the field; it only provides an initial test of an armor’s ability to withstand a certain amount of degradation.

The addition of this environmental conditioning protocol marks one of the most significant changes in this version of the standard; the new process should eliminate models with inherent weaknesses in design or materials. Samples are placed in a tumbling device and subjected to folding, tossing and crumpling, in addition to being exposed to extremes of heat and humidity.

The revision also includes a change in the “wet conditioning” protocol included in older versions. Previously, armor was “wet-conditioned” prior to ballistic testing by means of a water spray. The new version changes this to a complete water submersion of the panels to better test the resistance of panel covers and seams.

Other significant changes include the following:

- The six-shot test pattern has been revised to allow placement of a three-shot grouping anywhere in a “fair-hit area” to simulate the effects of multiple impacts in close proximity to each other and to expose potential vulnerabilities in the armor. The revised shot pattern also places shots within two inches of the edge of armor panels, instead of three inches as in the previous version.
- Five unique size templates have been introduced to ensure that all sizes of a given model comply with the performance requirements of the standard. Manufacturers must submit representative samples of the largest and smallest sizes in which they will manufacture a given armor model. After a model is listed as compliant, the manufacturer cannot introduce sizes outside the tested range. However, if a manufacturer submits samples representing the largest and smallest template sizes, then they can manufacture vests at any size.
- The testing sample size increases from four vests to 24.
- NIJ has instituted an impartial Special Review Committee to hear disputes or appeals related to compliance decisions. This committee includes technical experts from the disciplines of law enforcement, testing, research and standards development.

(See Revised Body Armor, page 8)
Confidentiality Assessment follow-up is a requirement of the NIJ Compliance Testing Program (CTP) and involves the periodic retesting of armor models previously tested and found to comply with NIJ Standard-0101.06. Retesting will be performed on samples randomly selected from each manufacturing location by inspectors authorized by the CTP.

Compliance Status is issued for a period of five years, after which a manufacturer can apply for renewal, based on its successful participation in the Conformity Assessment Program over the previous enrollment period. The Level I classification has been eliminated as not providing sufficient protection against today’s street threats.

Although Level I has been eliminated, the other classification levels remain and have been updated. The standard continues to categorize and rate armor at different threat levels that provide protection against handgun ammunition, with additional protection needed for SWAT team operations, hostage rescues and Special Operations assignments (when officers may be exposed to a weapon threat greater than the protection provided by regular duty armor).

The standard divides body armor into five cat
gory types, three for flexible body armor designed to protect against handguns, and two for rigid armor designed to protect officers in tactical operations against rifle threats.

The three flexible armor categories and the threat round calibers associated with their testing are as follows:

- Level IB: 9mm Luger, .40 Smith & Wesson.
- Level IIA: 9mm Luger, .40 Smith & Wesson.
- Level IIIA: .357 SIG, .44 Magnum.

The three rigid armor categories are as follows:

- Level III: 7.62 mm NATO (rifle).
- Level IV: 30.06 M2 AP (armor-piercing rifle).

As before, this particular standard establishes minimum performance requirements and test methods for the ballistic resistance of personal body armor designed to protect the torso against gunfire and does not address resistance to knives and other sharp objects.


Laboratory Accreditation Promotes Confidence in Testing Results

The expanded and revised testing protocols of Ballistic Resistance of Personal Body Armor, NIJ Standard-0101.06, place even more emphasis than before on the program’s need for top-of-the-line testing laboratories that provide consistent results and a reduction or potential elimination of any laboratory-to-laboratory variability. For help in that effort, NIJ turned to the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), establishing a partnership with that agency and adding a requirement that laboratories must first receive NVLAP accreditation as a condition of eligibility to apply to participate in the testing program as an NIJ-approved testing laboratory.

Historically, laboratory accreditation for the compliance testing program was a joint effort among NIJ, NIST and the National Center, says Lance Miller, director of the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC)-National, which administers the Compliance Testing Program for NIJ.

“One of the things we realized early on is that there are entities out there like NVLAP that do this all the time,” he says. “Working with the NVLAP program in our review of the compliance testing program under the U.S. Department of Justice Body Armor Safety Initiative, we’ve designed new requirements that are specific to body armor.”

“It removes NIJ from the process of accrediting laboratories and places it with an organization that does this all the time,” says Alex Sundstrom, testing manager at NLECTC-National.

NVLAP offers unbiased third-party accreditation to testing and calibration laboratories in a variety of areas, and fully conforms with the standards of the International Organization for Standardization and the International Electrotechnical Commission. NVLAP-accredited laboratories are identified in an online directory that lists contact information, accreditation renewal date and area of accreditation for each laboratory. Manufacturers can select approved laboratories from this list and negotiate testing contracts.

“The new Compliance Testing Program and the laboratory accreditation program complement each other,” Sundstrom says. “With requiring NVLAP accreditation, the labs that conduct the testing and the manufacturers that build the armor both are being assessed by independent third parties. This means that when consumers purchase the armor, they can have confidence in the testing process.”

Each laboratory accreditation program identifies test standards, related methods and protocols that meet the needs for a specific field. NVLAP accredits both public and private laboratories based on evaluation of their technical qualifications and competence to carry out specific calibrations or tests. Accreditation is granted following successful completion of a process that includes the following:

- Application submission.
- Fee payment.
- Onsite assessment.
- Resolution of any nonconformities identified during the assessment.
- Proficiency testing.
- Technical evaluation.

Accredited labs receive a certificate of accreditation in addition to being listed in the online directory. Manufac-turers’ in-house, commercial, university, federal, state and local government laboratories all may apply for accreditation.

NVLAP accreditation is solely a finding of labora-tory competence. A laboratory may cite its accredited status provided that the usage does not imply product certification. In addition, NVLAP accreditation does not guarantee participation in NIJ’s compliance testing program. This requires a separate application to the National Center through the JUTNET Web site (www.justnet.org). NVLAP also publishes, in electronic format only, handbooks and bulletins specific to each area in which labs are accredited, including body armor. Bulletins keep laboratories informed about revisions to the program until new handbook editions are published.

For more information on NVLAP, visit http://ts.nist.gov/standards/accreditation/index.cfm.
The conformity assessment follow-up portion of the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) Body Armor Compliance Testing Program (CTP) ensures that, to the greatest extent possible, the body armor used by the law enforcement and corrections communities continues to remain safe and reliable through periodic selection and testing of NIJ-listed production models to determine whether they continue to meet the standard.

During its initial five-year period of compliance, the CTP will conduct a series of random visits to each manufacturing location of compliant armor, taking samples from the production line without advance notice and subjecting the samples to ballistic testing. (A manufacturer can also renew the compliance status of a model after five years).

This addition to the testing process will ensure that manufacturers continue to build subsequent production units identically to what was originally submitted to the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC)-National for compliance testing. This process will assist in ensuring that subsequent units display similar ballistic performance capability as the original submissions that were found to be compliant with the standard. Applicants must agree to this follow-up testing as a condition of receiving their initial compliance.

Administered by NLECTC-National, the conformity assessment follow-up process includes an abbreviated form of the initial ballistic testing, construction comparison between production samples and the samples submitted for initial compliance testing, and comparison of current and original manufacturer build sheets.

“Adding a conformity assessment component will increase the confidence of the public safety community that body armor performance will continue to meet the standard on an ongoing basis,” says Rick James, NLECTC-National conformity assessment coordinator. “Law enforcement and corrections officers can feel confident that the vests that they purchase were produced using the same methods as the test samples.”

In this new process, samples will be gathered under two follow-up options. One option requires that the design and production of body armor be conducted under a registered quality management system (QMS) based on ISO 9000:2000, in conjunction with additional body armor specific QMS requirements. In this case, armor will be retested a total of three times in five years.

The second follow-up option does not require the manufacturer to have a registered QMS, but choosing this option will require the armor to be retested twice as many times in a similar period as does option one.

(The International Organization for Standardization, or ISO, is the world’s largest developer and publisher of international standards. A network of the national standards institutes of 157 countries, ISO is a nongovernmental organization that forms a bridge between the public and private sectors. ISO 9000 puts general controls in place, and then for the body armor program, adds specific requirements unique to body armor with which manufacturers must also comply.)

Once the retesting process has begun, voluntary withdrawal of the model from compliance is not an option. Models that fail to pass the retest process may be either suspended or removed from the compliant products list, depending on the severity of the infraction.

“If any problems are encountered during conformity assessment follow-up, we have ensured that they will be addressed, either by taking appropriate corrective actions or if necessary, revoking the product’s compliance completely,” James says.

Editor’s note: It is with great sadness that we note that Rick James, NLECTC-National’s conformity assessment coordinator, passed away shortly before this article was published. His contributions to the NLECTC program were invaluable, and he will be deeply missed.
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When a Pennsylvania SWAT team needed an armored personnel carrier, it turned to the government’s 1033 Program, which transfers excess U.S. Department of Defense property to law enforcement agencies for minimal or no cost.

“If not for the 1033 Program, we would not have been able to get the vehicle,” says Lt. Tom Nolan, team leader for the Central Montgomery County SWAT Team in Pennsylvania. Nolan is with the Upper Merion Police Department, which is part of the SWAT team. He also is chair of Multi-Jurisdictional SWAT for the National Tactical Officers Association.

“If you look at the armored vehicles for SWAT that are commercially available now, they are great vehicles but are in the $300,000 or more price range, and even as a multijurisdictional team, we would not have been able to get the financial support for an armored vehicle,” Nolan says.

Through 1033, the SWAT team obtained a used M113 armored personnel vehicle free. The state 1033 program added a $2,400 handling fee and a $900 charge for transporting it. The Pennsylvania team then spent approximately $12,000 to customize the vehicle, replacing unneeded military instrument panels with police-related equipment such as lights and sirens, front and rear cameras to help the driver, a communications system and a remote-controlled camera for viewing. The carrier, which was painted black, can fit eight to 10 people, depending on the amount of equipment the SWAT team is using. Money for the renovations came from donations from private companies.

Following renovations, the carrier was ready for service in June 2008. The next day, the team used the carrier during an incident involving a gunman who barricaded himself in a hotel, according to Nolan. The suspect was wanted for bank robbery and had access to a large window overlooking the hotel parking lot. To protect officers, the team used the armored vehicle to cover that side of the building. The suspect eventually surrendered. No one was injured.

“I think the vehicle had a psychological effect to motivate him to come out,” says Nolan. “It showed we meant business and it stepped up negotiations.”

As far as working with the 1033 Program, Nolan says, “You have to be a little bit patient. The paperwork has become easier over the years. Sometimes it can be a little bit cumbersome but if you are patient, if the equipment you want is out there they usually come up with the equipment you need. You have to be prepared to do a little work on the item, but for all the customization we did we have an excellent vehicle that is much cheaper than we could have purchased commercially.”